The 15th Annual Student Meeting of the Consortium of Universities in Hachioji Judging Criteria

Each presentation is evaluated comprehensively based on the following criteria. (Five-point Likert scale evaluation)

Judging criteria(1)

- ·Oral presentation in Japanese at one of the general sessions
- ·Oral presentation in English
- Poster presentation
- Exhibition presentation
- A) The presentation demonstrated usefulness, applicability, and expansibility, as well as an expectation for a contribution to the advancement of the relevant research field.
- B) The presentation included unique, novel aspects.
- C) The presentation was impressive, well-organized, and skillfully performed.
- D) The presenters answered each question clearly and appropriately.

Judging criteria²

- ·Oral presentation in Japanese at the city-policy-proposal session
- ·Oral presentation in Japanese at the agriculture-food-proposal session
- ·Oral presentation in Japanese at the tourism-proposal session
- A) The presentation demonstrated usefulness, applicability and expansibility.
- B) The presentation suggested realizable aspects, ideas, or path about how to realize them.
- C) The presentation included unique, novel aspects.
- D) The presentation was impressive, well-organized, and skillfully performed.
- E) The presenters answered each question clearly and appropriately.

Judging criteria®

Oral presentation in Japanese at the proposal-to-Mayor session

- A) The presentation suggested a new proposal or rediscovered a possibility, in order to make Hachioji more attractive or advance it.
- B) The presentation suggested realizable aspects or ideas about how to realize them.
- C) The presentation contained a fresh, original, and creative proposal, typical for students.
- D) The presentation was impressive, well-organized, and skillfully performed.
- E) The presenters answered each question clearly and appropriately.